THE COURT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17|

18

19

201

22

Ms. Harding, is it correct that the books MR. FINCH: that you're submitting to the Court don't include Exhibits 330 and 336? You haven't offered them.

MS. HARDING: Yes, we're not moving to admit those.

MR. FINCH: Okay.

They are in the book, they were in the THE COURT: binder. Do you want me to take them out?

There's -- they haven't been admitted and MR. FINCH: we would have an objection as to one of those and we'll wait until, when, as and if they offer it.

THE COURT: They're just in the binder. I mean if 13 they're not admitted I'm not going to be looking at them. didn't know if they were here for somebody else's purpose for later.

They were there because they were part MS. HARDING: of the testimony, so we kept them in, but we're not moving to admit them right now.

MR. FINCH: Right. As long as they're not being offered and Your Honor is not going to consider them at this 21 point, then --

THE COURT: I have plenty to consider besides the things that are being admitted so, no, I will not be, but if you want them out, I'll take them out, it's up to you. I was trying to keep the record the way you folks are giving me the

binders.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

MR. FINCH: Whatever is Your Honor's preference.

I don't have a preference, I'm not going THE COURT: to look at them if they're not admitted so it's --

> Then just leave them in. MR. FINCH: Okay.

Okay. One second. I need to get caught THE COURT: up with where you are here so I don't lose track. Just one minute, please. Okay, Ms. Harding, thank you.

(Videotaped deposition of Dr. Ray Harron played into record)

MS. HARDING: Your Honor, again, the full extent of the questions asked of Dr. Harron are in the notebook. We will seek to admit documents related to Dr. Harron. The first one is GX-0125, which are medical records of previous Grace claimants that have settled claims against W.R. Grace.

MR. FINCH: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay, one second. All right, 0125 is admitted.

Thank you, Your Honor. GX-0126, same MS. HARDING: proffer, these are medical records of previous settled Grace claimants, offered by, I believe the law firm Campbell Cherry.

MR. FINCH: No objection.

THE COURT: Admitted.

MS. HARDING: And, finally, GX-0127, these are medical records of settled claimants against W.R. Grace offered 25 by Campbell Cherry.

MR. FINCH: No objection. 1 2 THE COURT: Admitted. MS. HARDING: Thank you, Your Honor. And the final 3 video deposition we'll be playing is Dr. Ballard. 4 sorry, Your Honor, Dr. Ballard is the doctor listed number --5 in the fourth row on Dr. Henry's Exhibit GG-2094. 6 (Videotaped deposition of Dr. Ballard played into record) 7 MS. HARDING: Your Honor, in connection with Dr. 8 Ballard's testimony we're seeking to admit four exhibits. The first on is GX-0159, which are invoices of Dr. Ballard. MR. FINCH: No objection. 11 THE COURT: It's admitted. 12 13 MS. HARDING: GX-0160. MR. FINCH: No objection. 14 THE COURT: What is it? 15 MS. HARDING: It is a letter from Dr. Ballard to a 16 law firm involving charges related to his --17 THE COURT: It's admitted. 18 MS. HARDING: GX-0161, which is medical records with 19 Dr. Ballard's signature for previous Grace settled claimants. 21 MR. FINCH: No objection. THE COURT: Admitted. 22 MS. HARDING: GX-0162, which is a memorandum 23 concerning an invoice of Dr. Ballard. MR. FINCH: No objection. 25 J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

THE COURT: Admitted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

19

20

23 |

25

MS. HARDING: Your Honor, that concludes the presentation of the witness testimony by deposition today.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Finch?

MR. FINCH: I don't have anything, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mullady?

MR. MULLADY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, it's the position of the FCR that none of the evidence that was admitted today, both testimonial and documentary evidence is binding on the FCR and under Federal Rule of Evidence 105, our position is that this evidence while it may be admissible to one party and for one purpose, it is not admissible to the FCR for other purposes and that the Court should restrict the evidence admitted today to its proper scope and you won't be instructing the jury and that's what the rule continues to say, but you understand the point. That all this evidence relates to the status of current claims and should not influence the Court's decision with respect to the estimate with respect to future claims.

THE COURT: Well, it does relate to the status of current claims. It may relate to the status of future claims, I don't know that yet, Mr. Mullady and so I mean I can't -- I don't know that I can rule on this objection now. I can certainly take it under advisement.

It seems to me that to a certain extent the number of J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

claims because I don't know that we're up to liability yet, but at least the number of claims, and this evidence is clearly relevant to the number of current claims as I see it under the Debtor's construction of how current claims are going to be counted, may affect how future claims are counted. It may not, but it may. And if, in fact, it does affect how future claims are counted, then it is relevant to the futures. I don't know that yet.

MR. MULLADY: Right. And understandably so, and I'll make one more comment and then yield the floor to Ms. Harding.

The Court will see, by way of a preview, when we 12 present our evidence and our estimator, that our estimate does not derive from any predicates which may have been established through the evidence that was admitted today and I don't need to say anything more at this time. I understand the Court is going to have to see this evidence as it comes in.

THE COURT: Okay.

11

2

3 |

6 II

7

9

11

15||

17

18

19

20

23

25

MR. MULLADY: I'm just making my record.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HARDING: Your Honor, I would just like to say one thing for the record, which I agree with Mr. Mullady, I think that when the Court views the Future Claimant's estimation expert's work, the Court will see that that work is relying heavily upon the current and past claims data from W.R. Grace.

THE COURT: Well, yes, somebody is going to have to rely on current and past data, I mean of some sort, even the Debtor's construction is a little bit different than the Committee's and the FCR's, I think, but if I don't have some Grace data of some sort, regardless of where you want to cut the slices, then I'm not going to have much on which to base a ruling. I really think this comes down to where the slice is going to be cut and I think you disagree about where that slice is, but I'm looking at Grace data in this case, period. So, I go back to what I said before, folks. I hope you're going to take some time and try to get this resolved in a forum other than this courtroom. But okay.

MR. MULLADY: I won't say anything further, Your Honor, except I think I have to say in response to what Ms. Harding said, that isn't the way our estimate is done and the operative words in her sentence were Grace data. Our estimator us using certain Grace data, our estimator is not using any data that would be predicated on the testimony that came in today or the documents that came in today.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MULLADY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, as I indicated, Mr. Mullady, I really just have to take these objections under advisement now because it's pretty early in the case and I think based on the Debtor's construction of events it's relevant, it may not be to

the FCR's, but I certainly haven't heard all the evidence and at the moment, I'm not prepared to give rulings on whose who in the zoo. So, it's just going to have to wait.

MR. MULLADY: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

20

25

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Okay, anything more for today?

MS. HARDING: That's it, Your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: All right. We're in recess.

MR. FINCH: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, did you folks have a chance to look at your calendars for the May 13th and 14th dates? Are you clear for those dates instead of --

MR. FINCH: It works for the ACC, Your Honor.

MR. MULLADY: And for th FCR as well.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HARDING: Your Honor, I believe it works for everybody at this table. I do not know for sure whether it works for Mr. Bernick, so I will check with him and will have Ms. Baer maybe contact the Court to let you know.

THE COURT: Yes, that would be fine because what we had before was March 3rd and March 5th, and not March 4th because somebody wasn't available. So, we had a day in between. I think May 13 and 14 would be better because at least you'd be here for two consecutive days. All right. Thank you.

95

MS. HARDING: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: We're adjourned. Safe travels. 2 **** 3 CERTIFICATION 4 5 WE, TAMMY DeRISI, VIDHYA VEERAPPAN & ELAINE HOWELL, court 61 7 approved transcribers, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the 8 | proceedings in the above-entitled matter and to the best of our ability. 10 11 12 /s/ Tammy DeRisi TAMMY DeRISI 13 14 15 /s/ Vidhya Veerappan 16 VIDHYA VEERAPPAN 17 January 25, 2008 /s/ Elaine Howell Date: 18 19 ELAINE HOWELL 20 J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 21 22 23 24 25